

1 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)
2 MJacobs@mofo.com
3 ARTURO J. GONZALEZ (CA SBN 121490)
4 AGonzalez@mofo.com
5 ERIC A. TATE (CA SBN 178719)
6 ETate@mofo.com
7 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
8 425 Market Street
9 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
10 Telephone: 415.268.7000
11 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

12 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice* app. pending)
13 kdunn@bsflp.com
14 HAMISH P.M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice* app. pending)
15 hhume@bsflp.com
16 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
17 1401 New York Avenue, N.W.
18 Washington DC 20005
19 Telephone: 202.237.2727
20 Facsimile: 202.237.6131

21 Attorneys for Defendants
22 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
23 OTTOMOTTO LLC, and OTTO TRUCKING LLC

24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
25 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
26 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

27 WAYMO LLC,
28
29 Plaintiff,
30
31 v.
32
33 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
34 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,
35
36 Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**DECLARATION OF ARTURO J.
GONZÁLEZ IN SUPPORT OF UBER
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
OTTOMOTTO LLC, AND OTTO
TRUCKING LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
SHORTEN TIME FOR HEARING ON
UBER'S MOTION TO COMPEL
ARBITRATION**

Complaint Filed: February 23, 2017
First Am. Compl. Filed: March 10, 2017
Trial Date: October 2, 2017

1 I, Arturo J. González, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a partner with the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP. I am a member in
3 good standing of the Bar of the State of California. I make this declaration based on personal
4 knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the matters set
5 forth herein. I make this declaration in support of Uber's Administrative Motion to Shorten Time
6 for Hearing on Uber's Motion to Compel Arbitration.

7 2. Uber moves to shorten time on the hearing for its Motion to Compel Arbitration so
8 that the hearing may take place prior to the hearing on Waymo LLC ("Waymo")'s Motion for
9 Preliminary Injunction. At the Case Management Conference in this case, this Court asked us to
10 raise the arbitration issue so that it is considered before that hearing, set for May 4. (Attached
11 hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct excerpt of the transcript of that conference.)

12 3. On March 27, 2017, I spoke with counsel for Waymo, and explained why we
13 needed to have this matter heard on shortened time. I reminded him that the Court asked us to
14 raise this issue before too much time is spent by the Court on the pending motion for preliminary
15 injunction, and noted that the Court is dark on April 20. Counsel for Waymo responded later in
16 the day advising me in writing that Plaintiff opposes this request and asked that I attach his
17 written response to this motion. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of our
18 email exchange on this topic.)

19 4. The underlying Motion to Compel Arbitration seeks an order that Waymo arbitrate
20 their trade secret misappropriation and UCL claims against Uber, and that those claims be stayed
21 pending the outcome of the arbitration.

22 5. The deadline for Uber to oppose Waymo's Motion for Preliminary Injunction has
23 been continued from March 24 to April 7. (Tentative Order at 2, ECF No. 54). The deadline for
24 Waymo to file its Reply has been continued from March 31 to April 21. (*Id.*) The hearing on the
25 Motion for Preliminary Injunction has been continued from April 27 to May 4. (*Id.*)

